

**REMARKS****Response to Specification**

Applicants have amended page 10 to add text that was inadvertently omitted when the parent application was copied. Post-em@ note were apparently covering text when the parent application was copied. No new matter is introduced, because the parent application was incorporated by reference.

**Response to Objections**

The Examiner objected to claims 46, 50 and 63 due to informalities. Applicants believe that the above amendments to the claims obviate these objections.

**Response to Claim Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. §112**

Claim 57 was rejected by the Examiner under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicants regards as the invention because of the omission of essential structural cooperative relationships of elements. Applicants's amendment to claim 57 should obviate this rejection.

**Response to Allowable Subject Matter**

The applicants note with appreciation the Examiner's indication that claims 46-63 and 67-75 are directed to allowable subject matter. The above amendments should overcome the Examiner's objections and rejections and place these claims in allowable condition.

**Conclusion**

Reconsideration and an early allowance of these claims are earnestly solicited. **Please note the new address (see below) for applicant's attorney. Please address further correspondence to the new address.**

Respectfully submitted,

By: 

Edward J. Lynch  
Registration No. 24,422  
Attorney for Applicants

EDWARD J. LYNCH  
Patent Attorney  
One Embarcadero Center  
Suite 562  
San Francisco, CA 94111  
Telephone: (415) 646-8028  
Facsimile: (415) 433-5994